

RIPE



Numbered Work Items Moving Forwards?



Closed NWIs

- NWI-1 closed, no interest (tracking abuse-c changes)
- NWI-8 phase 2 cancelled (user defined auth groups)

NWI-2 Historical Queries

- NWI opened May 2016
- Query data only allowed from last deletion point
- Arbitrary cut off point, no logical reason
- Several people asked for this arbitrary restriction to be dropped
- Chairs recommended to drop this restriction
- Recent objections based on privacy concerns
- Does 'public interest' play a role?
- Currently under review by RIPE NCC legal team

NWI-4 Inetnum Status

- NWI opened May 2016
- How to document the assignment of a whole allocation?
- Raised in APWG session but not considered a policy issue
- Several solutions proposed, some technically not possible
- Chairs recommend a RIPE NCC proposal:
 - New status value 'ALLOCATED-ASSIGNED PA'
- Status structure and values been changed several times over the years
- RIPE NCC preparing impact analysis



NWI-10 Definition of Country

- Implementation is ongoing
- Expect completion by RIPE 85
- No further discussion or decisions needed (?)

NWI-12 NRTM v4

- Solution proposed and agreed
- Ongoing IETF process for new standard
- RIPE NCC will start implementing IETF Draft before RIPE 85



NWI-13 Geofeed

- Implementation completed
- Ongoing (legal) discussions about usage
- Functionality not covered by defined purposes of RIPE Database
- [Purposes affect - Geolocation, IRT, historical data, assignments, personal data, LEAs]

NWI-14 Use of “mnt-ref:”

- The RIPE Database has always been open to accidental or intentional mis-representation of responsibility
- “mnt-ref:” allows control over who can reference an object
- Extend optional use to other object types
- Propose to extend to - person, role, mntner, irt

DBTF Recommendations

- A Number of NWIs will be created for the recommendations made by the Database Task Force
- NWI-15 Baseline requirements for registration information
- NWI-16 Using RIPE Database as an IPAM solution
- NWI-17 Historical data
- NWI-18 Operational Contact Information
- These issues will be raised on the mailing list before RIPE 85



Technical Changes

- Very difficult to get consensus on technical changes
- Everybody is busy
- No time to read (long) emails, articles, join discussions
- Issues remain open for years!!
- When chairs recommend a way forward based on a few comments:
 - last minute objections



A suggestion...

- Set up a technical committee
- A permanent Task Force
- Transient members, come and go
- People with time to consider an issue
- Cross WG cooperation – no rigid boundaries
- Make a recommendation to a WG for final consensus



NWI vs Policy

- Numbered Work Items set up to manage technical changes
- Some changes evolve into wider issues
- Perhaps more appropriate for a policy
- For example – personal data, historical data, UTF-8

Questions?

